ON SOME BATS OF THE GENUS RHINOLOPHUS, COLLECTED BY DR. W. L. ABBOTT IN THE ISLANDS OF NIAS AND ENGANO.

# By KNUD ANDERSEN.

The authorities of the United States National Museum have intrusted me with the identification of a series of Horseshoe Bats lately collected by Dr. W. L. Abbott in Sumatra, Nias, and Engano. The present paper deals with the *Rhinolophi* only. The *Hipposideri* will be worked out together with the British Museum material of that genus.

## RHINOLOPHUS CIRCE, new species.

*Diagnosis*.—Closely related to *Rh. sumatranus*, a but smaller. Forearm 45.2–49 mm.

Remarks.—Horseshoe, sella, connecting process, lancet, and ears as in Rh, sumatranus, but forearm, metacarpals, and phalanges shorter. The subjoined table of measurements b shows the details.

Skull of the Rh, sumatranus pattern, but on the whole slightly more slenderly built. Dentition as in the Sumatra representative:  $p_3$  external to the tooth-row;  $p_2$  and  $p_4$  generally in contact, sometimes slightly separated;  $p^2$  in row.

Type. = Male adult (in alcohol, originally in formalin). Nias. Collected by Dr. W. L. Abbott (no. 4094). Cat. No. 141343, U.S.N.M.

Specimens examined.— Eight (6 male adults, 2 female adults), all from the type locality.—Skulls of 4 specimens.

#### RHINOLOPHUS CALYPSO K. Andersen.

The species was based on two examples collected in Engano by Dr. E. Modigliani, and preserved in the British Museum.<sup>c</sup> The fine series (2 male adults, 4 female adults, Nov. 17, 1904,) obtained on the same

<sup>&</sup>quot;Knnd Andersen, Proc. Zool. Soc. London, 1905, H, pp. 133–134 (Oct. 17, 1905).

 $<sup>^</sup>b$  For explanation of measurements see Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist. (7', XVI, p. 248, footnote (August, 1905).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Knud Andersen, Proc. Zool. Soc. London, 1905, 11, pp. 134–135, pl. iv, figs. 19 a, b, c (Oct. 17, 1905).

island by Doctor Abbott confirm the original diagnosis and description, and enables me to point out, with more confidence, the distinguishing characters of the species. *Rh. calypso* differs from *Rh. sumatranus* chiefly in the following respects: The horseshoe is broader, 9.6–10.2 mm. (in *sumatranus* 8.2–8.3); the sella broader, at base 2.7 (in *sumatranus* 2), immediately above the expansion 2.2 (in *sumatranus* 1.8); the ears larger.

In one example  $p_3$  is almost quite in row, an individual variation (or, if preferred, reversion to a more primitive stage) which I hitherto had not seen in this species or its closest allies (sumatranus, accuminatus), but which certainly was to be expected; in all other individuals examined this small tooth is external to the row.

#### RHINOLOPHUS TRIFOLIATUS NIASENSIS, new subspecies.

Diagnosis,—Similar to the typical Rh. trifoliatus, but with longer tail.

Remarks.—In 14 specimens of Rh. trifoliatus, from Lower Siam, the Malay Peninsula, Sumatra, and N. Borneo, the length of the tail varies between 29.3 and 36 mm.; in the only Nias specimen obtained by Doctor Abbott it measures 40 mm. In other respects, cranial, dental, and external, the Nias form is indistinguishable from the typical form of Rh. trifoliatus.

Type.—Female adult (in alcohol, originally in formalin). Nias, March 15, 1905. Collected by Dr. W. L. Abbott (no. 4088). Cat. No. 141350, U.S.N.M.

#### GENERAL REMARKS.

From Sumatra the following species of Rhinolophus are known to me: Rh. affinis superans, Rh. sumatranus, Rh. trifoliatus typicus.

From Nias.—Rh. circe, Rh. trifoliatus niasensis.

From Engano.—Rh. calypso.

Rh. sumutranus, Rh. circe, and Rh. calypso, together with Rh. acuminatus (Java) and Rh. acuminatus audax (Lombok), form a small, well defined section of the Rh. lepidus group. As will be observed from the above, the Nias and Engano representatives of this section are specifically different from the Sumatra representative, and also specifically different inter se. The only other Rhinolophus as yet recorded from these small islands (Rh. t. niasensis) is so exceedingly like the typical trifoliatus that, for the present at least, I do not think it advisable to separate it as a distinct "species;" the small difference in the length of the tail pointed out above may ultimately prove to be indicative of an average difference only. But the total result, that the three Rhinolophi as yet known from Nias and Engano are either specifically or subspecifically different from the Sumatra species, is worth noticing.

## Measurements.

Part.	2 specimens, 1 skull.		8 specimens, 4 skulls.		Rh. calypso.  8 specimens, 5 skulls.		Rh. trifoliatus.		
							forma typica. 16 specimens, 12 skulls.		niasen- sis. Female adult type.
	Mini- mum.	Maxi- mum.	Mini- mum.	Maxi- mum.	Mini- mum,	Maxi- mum.	Mini- mum.	Maxi- mum.	
Ear: Greatest breadth Breadth of horseshoe Forearm Third metacarpal III1 III2 Fourth metacarpal IV1 V1 V2 Fifth metacarpal V1 V2 Tail Lower leg Foot. Skull:	$\begin{array}{c} mm,\\ 18.7\\ 14.3\\ 8.2\\ 51\\ 35.2\\ 20\\ 37.2\\ 11\\ 13\\ 37.5\\ 12.2\\ 13.7\\ 25.2\\ 22.5\\ 10.8 \end{array}$	mm. 19 14.3 8.3 51.2 36.8 16.3 21 38 11.7 13.6 38.3 12.7 14.6 26.5 22.5	$\begin{array}{c} mm,\\ 16.7\\ 14\\ 8\\ 45.2\\ 32\\ 13\\ 17.5\\ 8.7\\ 11\\ 33\\ 10\\ 11.7\\ 21.5\\ 19.7\\ 10.2\\ \end{array}$	mm. 19 15 8.5 49 34.2 14.8 19.3 35.8 10.2 12.8 24.2 12.8 24.2 11.5	mm. 19 16 9.6 49 35 13.8 18.2 9.3 12.2 10.8 11.7 23 20.6 10.3	mm. 21, 5 17, 2 10, 2 52, 8 38, 3 15, 8 21, 5 39, 3 10, 8 13, 8 39, 3 11, 8 14 26, 5 23, 2 11, 5	mm.  22 17 10.5 47 30.5 17.8 25 10.5 14.8 25 11.5 29 3 23.2 11.8	mm. 26 19, 2 12, 6 55 37 22, 3 31 42 13 20 43, 8 13 7 19 7 86 27, 8 14, 5	mm. 24 17.5 11.7 52.2 35.7 20.8 28.8 40 13.1 18 11.2 13.8 40 27.2 13.2
Total length			20. 2 10 8 8 10. 6	21.7 10.2 9.1 11	20, 9 9 9 8 8 10, 9	23 10. 7 9. 7 11 7	22.6 $10.7$ $9.5$ $11.4$	24 9 11 6 10 3 12 7	22. 7 10. 3 9. 7 11. 8
mgs Mandible, length Upper teeth Lower teeth		6. 2 15. 8 8. 8 9. 5	5.7 14.6 8.1 8.8	6 15 2 8, 6 9, 2	6.2 11.8 8.4 9	6. 9 16 8. 9 9. 8	$\begin{array}{c} 6.1 \\ 15.7 \\ 8.7 \\ 9.2 \end{array}$	6 5 17.6 9 7 10 3	6 16 8, 8 9, 3